Time Series Analysis

Week 11 - State space models, 2nd part

Peder Bacher

Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science Technical University of Denmark

April 19, 2024

Week 11: Outline of the lecture

State space models, 2nd part:

- Initialization of the Kalman filter
- ML-estimates in state space models, Sec. 10.6
- The Kalman filter when some observations are missing
- ARMA-models on state space form, Sec. 10.4
- Time-varying systems
- Examples

The linear state space model

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{X}_t &= oldsymbol{A} oldsymbol{X}_{t-1} + oldsymbol{G} oldsymbol{e}_{1,t} \ oldsymbol{Y}_t &= oldsymbol{C} oldsymbol{X}_t + oldsymbol{e}_{2,t} \end{aligned}$$

{e_{1,t}} and {e_{2,t}} are mutually uncorrelated normally distributed white noise
 V(e_{1,t}) = Σ₁ and V(e_{2,t}) = Σ₂

Kalman Filter – Repetition

- What steps does the Kalman Filter consist of?
- How is the model used and how are the observations used?
- Model is used for prediction and combined with observations for reconstruction.
- How are the predictions/observations weighed in the reconstruction step?

Kalman filter – Initialization

- The Kalman filter has to be initialised for both analysis and parameter estimation.
- If you have no idea: Put $\widehat{X}_{1|1} = 0$ and $\sum_{1|1}^{xx} = \alpha I$, where I is the identity matrix and α is a 'large' constant.
- ▶ If you know the starting state exactly: Put $\widehat{X}_{1|1} =$ 'Known value' and $\Sigma_{1|1}^{xx} = \mathbf{0}$, whereby the first *observation* has covariance matrix $\Sigma_{1|1}^{yy} = \Sigma_2$
- ► If you have a good guess about the starting state: Put $\widehat{X}_{1|1} =$ 'Guess' and $\sum_{1|1}^{xx} = \sum_{Guess}$.
- The important part is that the (un-)certainty of $\widehat{X}_{1|1}$ is reflected in $\Sigma_{1|1}^{xx}$.

Maximum Likelihood Estimates

- Let \mathcal{Y}_{N^*} contain the available observations and let θ contain the parameters of the model
- The likelihood function is the density of the random vector corresponding to the observations and given the set of parameters:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathcal{Y}_{N^*}) = f(\mathcal{Y}_{N^*}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- The ML-estimates are (as always) found by selecting θ so that the density function is as large as possible at the actual observations
- ▶ The random variables $\boldsymbol{Y}_{N^*} | \mathcal{Y}_{N^*-1}$ and \mathcal{Y}_{N^*-1} are independent, and so:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathcal{Y}_{N^*}) = f(\mathcal{Y}_{N^*}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = f(\boldsymbol{Y}_{N^*}|\mathcal{Y}_{N^*-1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) f(\mathcal{Y}_{N^*-1}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

= $f(\boldsymbol{Y}_{N^*}|\mathcal{Y}_{N^*-1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) f(\boldsymbol{Y}_{N^*-1}|\mathcal{Y}_{N^*-2}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdots f(\boldsymbol{Y}_1|\boldsymbol{\theta})$

- So we need one step predictions including estimates of their variance. Do we know how to do this?
- The Kalman filter!

MLE / KF - Prediction

Assume that at time t we have:

$$\widehat{oldsymbol{X}}_{t|t} = E\left[oldsymbol{X}_t|\mathcal{Y}_t
ight] \;\; ext{and} \;\; oldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t|t}^{xx} = V\left[oldsymbol{X}_t|\mathcal{Y}_t
ight]$$

• Using the model we obtain predictions for time t + 1:

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t+1|t} &= \boldsymbol{A} \widehat{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t|t} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t+1|t}^{xx} &= \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t|t}^{xx} \boldsymbol{A}^T + \boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \boldsymbol{G}^T \\ \widehat{\boldsymbol{Y}}_{t+1|t} &= \boldsymbol{C} \widehat{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t+1|t} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t+1|t}^{yy} &= \boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t+1|t}^{xx} \boldsymbol{C}^T + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2 \end{split}$$

► Due to the gaussian white noise process, $f(Y_{t+1}|\mathcal{Y}_t, \theta)$ is the (multivariate) normal density (see Chapter 2) with mean $\widehat{Y}_{t+1|t}$ and variance-covariance $\sum_{t+1|t}^{yy}$

Explain to each other how the likelihood function is found constructed and used for estimation.

MLE / KF – The likelihood function

Using the prediction errors and variances

$$\widetilde{oldsymbol{Y}}_i = oldsymbol{Y}_i - \widehat{oldsymbol{Y}}_{i|i-1}$$

The likelihood function can be expressed as

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathcal{Y}_{N^*}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N^*} \left[(2\pi)^m \det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t|t-1}^{yy} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{Y}}_i^T (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t+1|t}^{yy})^{-1} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{Y}}_i \right]$$

Yielding the log-likelihood function:

$$\log L(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathcal{Y}_{N^*}) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\log \det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t|t-1}^{yy} + \widetilde{\boldsymbol{Y}}_i^T (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t+1|t}^{yy})_i^{-1} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{Y}}_i \right) + c$$

The variance of the estimates can be approximated by the 2nd order derivatives of the log-likelihood.

MLE / KF – Reconstruction – Missing data

At time t + 1 there are two possibilities for the reconstruction part:

The observation \boldsymbol{Y}_{t+1} is available:

We update the state estimate using the reconstruction step of the Kalman Filter:

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{K}_{t+1} &= \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t+1|t}^{xx} \boldsymbol{C}^{T} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t+1|t}^{yy} \right)^{-1} \\ \widehat{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t+1|t+1} &= \widehat{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t+1|t} + \boldsymbol{K}_{t+1} \left(\boldsymbol{Y}_{t+1} - \widehat{\boldsymbol{Y}}_{t+1|t} \right) \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t+1|t+1}^{xx} &= \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t+1|t}^{xx} - \boldsymbol{K}_{t+1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t+1|t}^{yy} \boldsymbol{K}_{t+1}^{T} \end{split}$$

The observation \boldsymbol{Y}_{t+1} is missing:

We get no new information and we use:

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t+1|t+1} = \widehat{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t+1|t}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t+1|t+1}^{xx} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t+1|t}^{xx}$$

Note: The same technique is used for multi-step predictions.

The ARMA(p, q) model as a state space model

$$Y_t + \phi_1 Y_{t-1} + \dots + \phi_p Y_{t-p} = \varepsilon_t + \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \dots + \theta_q \varepsilon_{t-q}$$

State space form:

$$egin{aligned} m{X}_t &= m{A}m{X}_{t-1} + m{G}m{arepsilon}_{1,t} \ m{Y}_t &= m{C}m{X}_t + m{arepsilon}_{2,t} \end{aligned}$$

Consider the following state space model, where row i is given by how Y_t influences Y_{t+i} :

$$\boldsymbol{X}_{t} = \begin{bmatrix} -\phi_{1} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -\phi_{2} & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\phi_{d-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -\phi_{d} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_{t-1} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \theta_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \theta_{d-1} \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}$$
$$\boldsymbol{Y}_{t} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_{t}$$

where d = max(p, q + 1) and any extra parameter is fixed to zero. What is the advantage of writing ARMA-processes on state-space form?

Estimation in ARMA(p, q)-models using the KF

Using the Kalman filter we can get the mean and variance of the one-step predictions of the observations:

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{Y}}_{t+1|t} = \boldsymbol{C}\widehat{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t+1|t}$$

 $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t+1|t}^{yy} = \boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t+1|t}^{xx}\boldsymbol{C}^T + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2$

- The Kalman filter can handle missing observations
- An ARMA(*p*, *q*)-model can be written as a state space model
- This gives us a way of calculating ML-estimates in the ARMA(p, q)-model even when some observations are missing.

Falling body - Revisited

Remember the discretised state-space model of a falling body

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{X}_{t} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_{t-1} - \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} g + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t} \\ Y_{t} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_{t} + e_{t} \end{split}$$

Imagine that we believe g to be changing over time, but we don't know how or why. How can we incorporate this? We can rewrite the model with g as a state!

$$\boldsymbol{X}_{t} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -0.5 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_{t-1} + \epsilon_{t}$$
$$Y_{t} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_{t} + e_{t}$$

Under what conditions should the process noise for g be non-zero? When does this trick work?

Parameter estimation as state-estimation

For the linear state-space model

when u_t unknown, (with observations or not), it can be estimated as a state by

System equation:
$$X_t = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_{t-1} \\ u_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} + e_{1,t}$$

Observation equation: $Y_t = \begin{bmatrix} C & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_t \\ u_t \end{bmatrix} + e_{2,t}$, or $Y_t = \begin{bmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_t \\ u_t \end{bmatrix} + e_{2,t}$

When would you do this? Unknown or uncertain inputs. Update parameter estimate as more information becomes available. Assumption of varying parameters.

Summary of state-space models

- Two kinds of noise. Why is this useful? Instant versus sustained effect.
- Model identification. What is the general idea? Formulate physical equations or at least sensible equations.
- ▶ Kalman filter. What are the two steps that it consists of? Reconstruction and prediction.
- ▶ Handling missing values. Why is this so easy? Just don't update during reconstruction step.
- Adaptive parameter estimates. Include parameters as states.